Rackham Student Government
Board Meeting: April 9, 2013
Agenda

I. Call To Order

II. Approval of Agenda

III. Approval of Previous Minutes
   a. April 2, 2013* (p. 2)

IV. Guest Speaker / Discussion
   a. Jill McDonough, Director Of Development and Alumni Relations
   b. Paula Wishart, Academic Program Officer for Professional Development

V. Officer Reports
   a. Graduate Student Body President, Michael
   b. Graduate Student Body Vice President, Kaitlin
   c. Graduate Student Body Treasurer, Phil

VI. Director Reports
   a. Election Director, Dan Trubman – Election Results (p. 31)
   b. Events Director, Ryan Roberts

VII. Committee Updates
   a. Academic Affairs
   b. Budgetary* (p. 12)
   c. COSAC
   d. Elections
   e. Legislative Affairs
   f. Student Life
   g. Grad. Gala
   h. J-COM

VIII. RSG Gala Budget and Event Review / Approval (p. 14)

IX. S.B. Resolution: W-13-05: RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT (p. 21)

X. Resolution W-13-06: SEMI-MANDATORY COURSE EVALUATIONS (p. 27)

XI. Resolution W-13-07: PHD CANDIDATE COURSE BANK (p. 29)

XII. Open Discussion

XIII. Adjournment

* - Item included in packet
** - Item will be provided on Day of Meeting or at Meeting
*** - Item was included in a previous packet
GL – Guest from PSA sitting on MB’s left – MB says leave name blank to be filled in later

GR – Guest from PSA sitting on MB’s right – MB says leave name blank to be filled in later

DW: We met at the beginning of the semester and discussed a few of the issues that you care about. Among them were the hours that reading rooms are available and the printing options available to graduate students. I’m glad that we got all of that resolved. We also discussed transferring from a 9 point to a 4 point GPA scale. That is something that we had to bring to the Faculty Board; we could not handle that internally. Michael is your representative on that board. He is a voting member.

The Board approved that change. It turns out that that is a more complicated change than expected, and it will impact those that are staying around for future terms. It’s great to have people participating with us from RSG, and we seek these opportunities when they become available.

We get new students every term, and we recruit new students every year. We’re in the process of recruiting new students for the Fall. Applications are up this year, which signals to me that demand is high both in the United States and around the world. We give out fellowships this time of year; these are both for the summer and for the next year. We always have many more nominations than we are able to fulfill. We give out Centennial fellowships, and we hold competitions for the fellowships.

Let me not go on, although I could. Let me now take questions.

*Chris Tom introduced himself*

*Evan Arthur introduced himself*

*Matt Waugh introduced himself*

MB: With that, questions?

Dan Trubman: Now that sequester has happened and it appears apparent, how will it impact Rackham? I don’t think anyone really thought it was going to happen three months ago, and now it did.

DW: That was a great question. We have been talking to the faculty in all the schools and colleges about the importance of living up to our obligations to the students. I was wrong about the sequester, but I am right about this. We still have obligations to our students and we will still meet them.

In the short run, I am not seeing students who were funded who are seeing their funding withdrawn from them. In the medium run, this is more of an issue. People expecting grants renewed may not see that happen, and people expecting new grants may not see that happen. The university will see a hit when it comes to federal funding.

I’ve met every dean and discussed out obligations to students. In the short and medium run, I am not at all concerned about our ability to meet our obligations to students. Some programs are being more discriminate with their admissions this year because of these issues. The medical school is a very strong example of this. Does that answer your question?
DT: Yeah, it’s really scary.

Chris Tom: The Rackham Merit Scholarship is a really great program; I have a question about when you actually award them. I’ve heard about cases where people were wanted at Michigan, but they didn’t get the Rackham Merit Scholarship in time. Is there any competitive rational to not move the deadline up to when the decision deadline is.

DW: We award RMFs in two cycles, late February and late March. All of our decision have been announced for a week now. The distribution across these is probably half and half. Our decisions are made before the deadline, and we are ready very early in the process if the faculty are ready very early in the process. Why doesn’t everybody make their decisions in February? The answer is that faculty committees have not always made their decisions by the deadline to get them out in February. We make our decisions very quickly, and there is less than two weeks between the time that we receive the nominations and we announce the awards.

Your information reflects the intersection of multiple processes. If someone isn’t getting a decision until late March, they may be recruited away in early March.

CT: I have a follow-up on the Rackham Centennial. Joan Madonna came and spoke there, and I guess she’ll be there next week as well. I’m interested in non-traditional graduate fellowships, for example, those provided for Masters students, and not Doctoral candidates. I’ve wondered about ways to recruit people who are diverse in this process.

DW: We are out there looking all the time for people who would like to give us money to support graduate students. Once of the beautiful things about the university of Michigan is its diverse set of career interests, nationalities, backgrounds, blah blah blah. It goes on and on. We can provide the opportunity for any donor who would like to invest in the successful careers of our students. The non-traditional fellowships are dedicated to Masers students, because that is where non-tradition students are.

The Rackham Merit Fellowshop can be used to support non-traditional PHD students. In the last few years, we have moved to an increasingly full-funded model where most of our PHD students are fully-funded. If you are accepted into a PHD program, you’re program will commit to supporting you for four or five years with very few exceptions. We’re very hopeful that the fundraising campaign will yield good benefits. Our goal is to raise 30 million dollars, all of it for graduate student support. I know that 30 millions dollars is a lot of money, but we also have a lot of students. It is very expensive to support students. What we really need is 30 million dollars every year.

Raising all of that is challenging, and we do a lot of work.

Peter McGrath: Thanks for making this building more available; my pet project is complete. One of my colleagues said that graduate students in-state mentioned this. It took me months to prove that I was in-state, and there was a hold on my account. If there is a way to mitigate this situation for graduate students, that would be very appreciated. I’m in Architecture and Urban Planning, and we would like
someone to open the books for us to let us see what is happening with the funding. They have all the robots; we have all these classrooms with no windows. As far as fellowships go, a lot of us are interested in working in post-industrial cities. It’s hard to do if you want to stay involved. The job offer is often better in Chicago or New York. Is it possible to set up a community outreach fellowship with that 30 million bucks you are raising. My master’s students would appreciate this.

Matt Filter: Or PHD.

PM: No, just Masters. We would like to request money for a Hawaiian shirt encased in bronzed in honor of Benson’s 35 years here. I have really been looking at the urban planning idea for a while.

DW: That’s a terrific idea. The thing about fundraising is that we have a lot of good ideas. We are looking for people who have a lot of money to connect some of our good ideas to reality. I would like to put it on our list of good ideas that goes in front of people.

Kaitlin Flynn: I would like to echo Pete’s comments. I want to know what Rackham’s overall approach is given GEO and the new right to work legislation. Graduate student instructors are losing funding.

DW: The university recently renegotiated a new contract with GEO. This will last four years. Given that this contract was signed before the recent legislation, it retains the dues.

KF: But the legislation was signed in November, and the contract was signed in March.

DW: The legislation was signed in November, but it comes into effect March 28th. The contract was signed in time, and the dues are protected for four more years. The right-to-work legislation makes it illegal to require all employees within a particular field to pay dues or fees for representation. Our contract requires that of all graduate student employees. In four years, I don’t know how likely it is that these rules will still be enforced in four years. If I had to guess, I would say probably not. However, I couldn’t begin to say.

DT: Do you think the state is going to punish the university for that?

DW: The house Republicans would like to punish the university for that, but neither the governor nor the senate will approve that being on their agenda. Is what we did consistent with the spirit of the law? No. Is what we did consistent with the letter of the law? No.

Eugene Daneshvar: I’m wondering what the updates are regarding the legal standing of students with respect to conflict of interest.

DW: I don’t think there’s much to update about. The channels that exist to air concerns regarding conflicts of interest continue to exist, and I’m not aware of any major changes with respect to these policies.

ED: My concern is that the policies as they are written seem well, but their enforcement is not completely in place. I heard about a Biomedical Engineering professor who did not completely follow through with the policy as written. I’m sure you’ve heard of my situation, and I’m a multiple Michigan
alum. I can forgive a person for making a mistake, but I believe that the institution has a responsibility to not follow through with protectionism and its consequences.

CT: Referring back to a previous conversation, I don’t know how you do outreach to potential donors in the alumni base. If you would like for us to get involved, we’d love to hear about how we can help. I would like to make it such that potential donors are speaking to students as opposed to administrative members. So, yeah.

DW: So let me say; we’d love to get students involved in meeting with donors, because donors are much more interested in students than in my report of what students are doing. If you’re volunteering, I would be glad to write your name down.

KF: Relatedly, I don’t know what undergraduates are doing, but they have something called Telefund. I don’t know how effectively it is, but do you guys cold call people?

DW: We do. We do have undergraduate callers, and we give them a good script. We do mail, telefund, email, an alumni magazine, events, football games, the whole nine yards. We had a group of some of our best friends and supporters. We had about eight students on a panel in late November. They came and talked about what their research is about, what their discipline is doing, and what their degrees about. This gets donors excited about supporting students. They love to see students. I will happily put all of your names on my list so that when people want to meet someone in your field, it’s great to have someone who can talk to them.

David Barton: If you have someone who is interested in computer science, why would they donate to Rackham as opposed to the computer science department?

DW: That’s a great question. They often do. We often speak to people who are interested in multiple subfields, and they come to us. If you have multiple interests, then we’re the only platform that allows you to do that. We also get people who give us money because they’re mad at their department. In some units, if someone isn’t very wealthy, they don’t get a lot of attention. Everyone gets attention from us; they don’t just go down to the bottom of the pile.

We have some big donors with us that are with us because they were not stewarded by the appropriate department.

Rham: On the subject of fellowship; with respect to interaction among students across the different spectrums. With respect to having a mentor of graduate students, I see this happen more frequently in LSA than in engineering. Do you have any way of benchmarking this.

DW: That’s a question that really goes to the heart of the quality of the graduate education experience. We do a lot of things that have to do with things that are going on within departments, and we make recommendations of effective practices to departments. We have a group of faculty that have constituted themselves as a committee to help other faculty improve their mentoring. It’s a faculty to faculty initiative called MOIE (Mentoring Others in Excellence). They work with faculty regarding
questions such as how to work with students most effectively, how to support struggling students, how to support students who are flying, how to work with age and cultural and other differences.

It’s a really important initiative, and it is followed up with data collection. We identify where there are problems, we ask the departments about how they intend to address the problems. We guide them as to how others have addressed similar problems. We see real progress. At one of these meetings seven years ago, a masters student in electrical engineering told me that he had taken an exam and no one had told him whether he had passed. He was incredibly frustrated about this. He wanted to move on to his PHD, but he couldn’t get the simplest feedback. I was shocked by that, and realized at that moment that we had to have policies and practices in place across the campus so that every student across campus gets strong feedback.

We started collecting data in 2007, right after this, where we asked students every year “are you getting feedback about your academic progress, and is that feedback valuable to you?” 40% responded affirmatively. This year, 80% of the students are responding affirmatively. It’s not 100%, and there is no excuse for that. We continue to work on that, and we had a meeting a couple of weeks ago with all of directors of graduate programs. We do this every semester. We spoke about the importance of feedback and giving constructive advice to students about how to make progress.

We had a set of very engaging conversations, and we are driving to that 100% number.

Ryne Peterson: In Ford, we had some professors volunteer to come in to perform an in-class assessment. I feel like the ones that might need it are not the ones that are not having others come in.

DW: That’s a really great idea, but we don’t have control over that at the graduate school level. We can recommend that each individual perform this program.

Ryan Roberts: Has anyone ever given you a gift that specifically excludes a single department?

DW: Well, we’ve come close.

Dan Trubman: We’ve heard a lot about the capital campaigns surrounding the unions and the gyms. I think the unions and the gyms are great; do we need to upgrade this right now?

DW: It’s a very controversial request. There are people that think that if we don’t upgrade the rec sports facilities, that we’ll lose people to other schools such as Northwestern. I don’t think people would pick a school based on the gym, but there is some concern for people that find these facilities important. There are definitely other people in your camp. I don’t think it’s a done deal, and there is a lot of diversity of important with respect to that.

MB: We’ve approved a resolution to support the renovations, but we didn’t approve any sort of a funding mechanism. I don’t think we’ll address that in the near future. We’ll tread very carefully, if at all, if we approach that. It’s an ongoing thing.

DW: Thank you everybody who is here. I look forward to the next set of good ideas from you guys.
MB: Thank you all; we had fifteen good questions and interactions. From this point on, we will move backwards.

MF: Move onto the Pakistani student issue?

MB: So the board is aware that what we are going to do is hear the Pakistani student association funding request so that it can be debated. We'll introduce ourselves, you have five minutes to make your case, and we have five minutes to debate the issues.

MF: Pages 23-24 are overwhelming.

KF: And 22 for the budget.

*Introductions around the table, beginning with Matt Waugh*

MB: So again, all board members have a copy of your original appeal, as well as our original decision. Please give us an overview and your argument in five minutes.

GL: Our conference has been held in 2010, 2012, 2013. It gets bigger every year, and it covers South Asian and Pakistani issues. We're calling out the dean of the largest university in Pakistan. We're also covering a report that covers the livelihood conditions in Pakistan. We are supported by multiple offices, and we bring in different speakers with different issues every year.

We are looking at the issue of drones and human rights in Pakistan. We are appealing a $9,700 budget; we are still $700 short. We asked for $3,000 originally, and we received $600. We are still $700 short, so we are asking for $700 more.

MB: You only took about two minutes of your time, so we can transfer the rest of the time to questions. We are now in eight minutes of question time. I will tap the gavel when you have 30 seconds left

MF: So you're only asking for $700?

GL: That's correct.

KF: We're concerned that you estimate a doubling of your attendance from 100 to 200 and that not very many of those showing up will be Rackham students.

GL: We've become linked to some classes, so that attendance is required for some. We're co-sponsored by international policy students associated, the political science departments, Asian languages departments. We're covering more departments this time.

MW: Where did you get your budget from the last two years?

GL: Last year, our budget came from the same sources, the RSG, CSG, and others. We got around $500, but it was late in the game.
MB: If you can turn to question 15, you noted that you applied to a variety of other funding sources. Can you update us with respect to what you’ve received.

GL: **This will be emailed out.**

This totals to $9,000.

MB: Dan is next, then Eugene, then Kaitlin, then Chris.

DT: Which students are getting credits for this.

GL: The mandatory attendance is primarily undergraduate students.

MB: How many spots do you think will be filled by graduate students?

GL: The mandatory attendance is only for one of the panels.

ED: Thank you for putting this together. One of my hobbies is politics and issues, and you can add me to the graduate student count. How are you publicizing this? Is Rackham sending out an email on your behalf. I’m in biomedical engineering.

GL: There are a lot of Pakistani students in engineering, so that’s one that we’ll get to soon.

ED: You should reach out to the Rackham servers.

GL: I’ve recently reached out to Harvard, MIT, others. MSU is attending, Ohio State may be attending. We’ve also set up for content to be available for those who can’t attend.

KF: Motion for +5 minutes.

MB -> Procedure to approve -> approved.

KF: Did you apply for funding to CSG?

GR: They originally rejected us, then we appealed. We got 800 from them, and we may appeal them again.

KF: What if you don’t get funded between now and Friday?

GR: Some organizations allow you to get funding afterward, including RHA. We intend to reach out to them. Our last option is to reach out to alumni in Pakistan.

Matt Filter: Thank you for joining us. This is an awesome event, I’m sure. This is from the conference report:

“Assuming 60% graduate students, and 200 students, this breaks down to $16.66 per graduate student.”
GL: We think this goes beyond the funding per student. This conference has the ability to brand our school and nearby schools. The biggest Pakistani student conference every year happens in Cambridge, and it’s a model for what we can achieve.

CT: Is there any co-sponsorship coming from MSU or Ohio State? They are also not part of our constituency, but we primarily fund graduate student activities.

GL: There is a decline in inflow of Pakistani students. They say they’ll send people out, but it’s hard to ask them for money.

PM: Will you be able to pass a hat around at the conference? It’s generally not acceptable to do this at an academic conference.

MB: It is 8:43, is there a motion to approve the agenda. Moved by Matt, seconded by Yting; it is approved with one abstention. Is there a motion to approve the previous minutes. Moved by Dan, seconded by Ram. Corrections or changes to the minutes of substance? Approved with five abstentions. That brings us to the funding request.

Any member of the committee that wants to explain the committee’s rational, please do so.

DT: I would point us to Phil’s statement. The general consensus of the room is with respect to how many graduate students will actually be there. In today’s briefing, we found a new problem: how many graduate students will be from Michigan? I think $600 is fair, given that CSG only valued this at $800. I think that this is more than proportionately respectful.

KF: I think Dan sums it up; it sounds like a fairly large law / undergrad / other-university funding. No-one here has seen their publicity. I would promote $50-100 more at max.

MF: I agree with Dan and Kaitlin and bumping it up $50-100 if that is something that people are in favor of.

Ryne: We’re all on the same page; I didn't realize at first that it is all-day and that it is highly international acclaimed.

MB: We allocated 6,000 to the budgetary committee; we can fund it how we want, but we must do it blindly to the amount of funding that we still have available. The people who track what they allocate know these numbers, but we as a board do not.

Mike: I want to throw my two cents in; let’s be generous and give them the fact that they get 60 grad students out. We want to give them 10% of what we’ve given to the overall student organization budget? I think it’s crazy.

MW: Currently, we’re giving them $5 a person.

MF: Where does the 16.66 come from?
MW: That’s if we fully fund them. I would say that they’re not too far from the goal; we shouldn’t necessarily do it all.

ED: If we can't support them financially, we should support them in terms of PR. The drone material is a big deal outside of the bubble that we live in. I think that what we can do beyond giving them money is giving them publicity. We'll help them publicize. I would be in support of giving more money (I don’t know how much), but I would say that we should try to find a way to support them.

MB: The board can order an email to go out. As soon as we validate the election results, we can tack that on. That will likely go out in the middle of this week. Whatever we do, we can tack on an email directive. That's an option.

Chris: I pass.

JF: They want $1,300 from us. That's more than anyone else has contributed. How would RSG's contribution be viewed and publicized if we were to provide more?

MB: We are funding travel and lodging.

KF: Motion to increase funding $50 and aid in their advertising.

Ram: Can we separate the motion?

MB: Object, and we'll discuss.

Ram: I object.

MB: You can move to divide the question, if you’d like. Is that what you would like to do.

Ram: I move to divide the question.

ML: Second.

MB: Objections?

CT: Objection.

MB: By 9-5, that motion passes – we split the question. Either piece can be amended. First, we have a motion to increase allocation by $50.

CT: Let’s match funds with CSG.

ED: I second.

KF: I object.

ED: I think that our role in RSG in representing the graduate student body, how we show our time and put our money and time with where our mouth is...
I think this is a significant event with real substance, and this is a grown up issue that grads would care about.

KF: First of all, I would argue that all of our events are great events, and there are great events going on relevant to political, cultural issues, international issues. The committee started at 700 and moved down, and we have fewer funds in CSG. We should value the original decision

MB: Vote to see if we should vote on 200 or 50 first. By a vote of 4-10-1, the motion fails. We will focus on the 50 increase.

DB: I move for 100 dollars increase.

MW: Second

DB: I think the arguments have been given we should move on.

KF: Same.

MB: Vote in favor of transferring the amount from 50 to 100. Vote is 8-7-1, the motion carries. Phil just texted me asking about how the meeting is going. We will first vote on the 100 dollars. By a vote of 9-6, that carries. They get an extra 100. The next question regards to RSG sending out an email advertising for this event. All were in favor, with one exception.

Next week we will be joined by Rackham's Chief Development Officer and a member of the Career Service's Officer. We will hear Ryan's resolution. We will also know the actual turnout, and we will pick out prize winners for the voting participation.

Motion to adjourn, second, all those in favor, all those opposed, we're done!
RACKHAM STUDENT GOVERNMENT
Budgetary COMMITTEE
April 4, 2013
RACKHAM GRADUATE BUILDING
2ND FLOOR WEST CONFERENCE ROOM, NORTH ALCOVE
6:00 P.M.

1. CALL TO ORDER: 06:00pm
   a. Present: Yiting Zhang, Dan Trubman, Ryne Peterson, President Benson, Treasurer Saccone
   b. Absent:
   c. Excused: Flynn, Filter

Thai Students Association.

Those that were able to attend their presentation said it was well done. Presentation was well done. The primary issue raised was whether we could grant them the full amount even though they were charging for admission. It is difficult to assess how many of the participants would be graduate students. The total price tag seemed a bit high albeit for an elaborate show.

Motion for $500 by Michael, seconded by Ryne.

Yes: Michael, Phil, Ryne, Yiting, Dan

No: 0

Abstentions: 0

Motion carries for $500

AMS

Michael: What did we give them last year? ($200) Doesn’t seem that many graduate students. Could not find evidence that a majority of people attending will be graduate students. It was noted that the speaker could attract graduate students. The Committee decided to appropriate based on what AMS received from RSG last year.

Michael motions for $300, seconded by Dan

Yes: Phil, Michael, Yiting, Dan, Ryne

No: 0

Abstentions: 0
Motion carries for $300

**AWIS**

Good event, good amount of people. Organization is reliable and follows RSG protocol. The amount asked for is always reasonable. High amount of confidence in their proposal and event.

Michael motions to fund $58.00, seconded by Ryne

Yes: Michael, Ryne, Yiting, Phil, Dan

No: 0

Abstentions: 0

Motion carries to fund $58.00

**Hustle and Grow**

Evaluated how much was appropriated for this event last year ($166). Around 50% graduate student participation. Request is almost entirely for food purchase. Committee sought to be consistent with last years appropriation.

Motion by Ryne for $150, seconded by Michael.

Yes: Michael, Ryne, Dan, Phil, Yiting

No: 0

Abstention: 0

Motion carries to fund $150.00

**II. OPEN DISCUSSION**

**III. ADJOURNMENT** at 6:35pm.
Committee Name: Gala Committee

Committee Chair (Please include contact information): Lauren Knapp (knlauren@umich.edu)

Total Amount of Funding Requested: $314.00

For each event, please address the following:
(Note: It is not necessary to physically/electronically duplicate this form for each event. Please format the budget request in the following manner: Event 1, response to prompts 1-5, Event 2, response to prompts 1-5, etc.)

1) Approximate date of the event.
4/20/2013

2) Very briefly, how does the event fit the mission of your committee as defined by the RSG by-laws?

The mission of the Gala Committee is to hold this event; This mission is not accounted for in the RSG By-Laws.

3) Detail the specific items that the committee is requesting, the dollar amount, and provide a brief justification for the expenditure.

Attached.

Justifications:

DJ (Ben Mason) - Necessary
Ballroom – Rental - Necessary
Ballroom - University Catering – Will Attract Student Body
Bar Louie – Rental - Necessary
Bar Louie – Appetizers – Will Attract Student Body
Decorations and masks – Will Attract Student Body
Tickets printing (300*0.32/4) - Necessary
Advertising - Necessary

4) Event co-sponsors and an estimation of their financial contribution, if any.

The University of Michigan Engineering Council - $300.

5) Is this the first time you are holding the event? Yes.
RSG / UMEC

Bar Louie
401 E Liberty
7-9PM
4/20/2013
*All grad students

Ticket #: Black & White Masquerade

Michigan Union
Rogel Ballroom
9-11PM
4/20/2013
*Ticketholders Only
The Rackham Student Government and The Engineering Council present

BLACK AND WHITE MASQUERADE
~ Formal Attire Required ~

Bar Louie - 401 E. Liberty - 7 to 9 PM
Appetizers Provided - All Students Invited

Rogel Ballroom, Michigan Union - 9 to 11 PM
Desert, Punch, and Masks Provided - Ticketholders Only

Saturday, 4/20/2013
For ticket information, please contact rsg-eventsdirector@umich.edu

RACKHAM STUDENT GOVERNMENT
Black and White Masquerade Budget

Date 4/20/2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expense Item</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Confirmed/Reserved</th>
<th>Paid</th>
<th>Income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DJ (Ben Mason)</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tickets (300*4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballroom - Rental</td>
<td>$120.00</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Engineering Council Contributio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballroom - University Catering</td>
<td>$700.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bar Louie - Rental</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bar Louie - Appetizers</td>
<td>$400.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decorations and masks</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tickets printing (300*0.32/4)</td>
<td>$24.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertising</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total $1,814.00  Total $1,500.00

Cost = Expenses-Income $314.00
## A. Desserts
Dessert Options: Sweet Treats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dessert Option</th>
<th>Cost/unit</th>
<th># of units</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th># servings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deluxe Minature Pastry Assortment (3 doz. Min)</td>
<td>$22.00</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$154.00</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handmade chocolate truffles (3 doz. min)</td>
<td>$19.00</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$133.00</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minature Pastry Assortment (3 doz. Min)</td>
<td>$17.00</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$119.00</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homemade Brownies (unit = 1 dozen)</td>
<td>$16.00</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$64.00</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub-totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>$470.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>300</strong></td>
<td><strong>$470.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>300</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## B. Drinks
Beverages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Beverage</th>
<th>Cost/unit</th>
<th># of units</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th># servings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mock Champagne Punch (1 gallons = 25 servings)</td>
<td>$22.00</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$220.00</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub-totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>$220.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>250</strong></td>
<td><strong>$220.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>250</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Cost</strong></td>
<td><strong>$690.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>300</strong></td>
<td><strong>$690.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>300</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appetizers</td>
<td>Cost/unit</td>
<td># of units</td>
<td>Total Cost</td>
<td># servings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Boneless Wings</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>$140.00</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Bruchetta</td>
<td>$7.50</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>$105.00</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Hummus and pita</td>
<td>$8.50</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>$119.00</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Cheese Quesadilla</td>
<td>$7.00</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>$98.00</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

General manager discount (15%) $69.30

Sub-total (before discount) $462.00 294

Final total $392.70
He’s going to shut down the back half of the bar to out here.

He’s going to have servers -- ask that we spend money -- we’re going to be drinking.

Sharing dishes inside.

200 people

4ish servers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price per Item</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chicken quesadillas</td>
<td>200 people</td>
<td>$7.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brushetta</td>
<td>75 appetizers total</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hummus platter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicken nachos</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boneless wings (2-3 people)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Have ready 6-6:30

Party for 180 - bill got up to $820

15-20% discount

Pay after

Door will be open -- half sitting, half standing

TVs on

No outside food or beverage is allowed in the building --

They’ll be asked to leave if there’s a problem

DPS won’t need to be involved
DIVESTING FROM FOSSIL FUEL COMPANIES: ALIGNING THE UNIVERSITY’S INVESTMENTS WITH ITS COMMITMENTS TO SUSTAINABILITY

WHEREAS, the University of Michigan is actively committed to environmental sustainability, and climate change is a substantive threat to current and future generations here at the University of Michigan and around the world; AND

WHEREAS, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Fourth Assessment Report found that global warming is already causing costly disruption of human and natural systems throughout the world including the melting of Arctic ice, the acidification of oceans, flooding and drought; AND

WHEREAS, scientists from the National Research Council, National Academy of Sciences, National Academy of Engineering, and Institute of Medicine agree that the Earth’s average temperature will increase between 2 - 12 degrees Fahrenheit by the end of this century given current trends and any warming above a 2°C (3.6°F) rise would be unsafe; AND

WHEREAS, the emissions from the burning of fossil fuels negatively impact human health, with a recent estimate of at least $14 billion in healthcare costs linked to natural disasters caused by climate change; AND

WHEREAS, for the purposes of this resolution, a “fossil fuel company” shall be defined as companies that are primarily involved in the exploration, extraction, production, distribution, and burning of fossil hydrocarbons; AND

WHEREAS, In its “Unburnable Carbon” report, the Carbon Tracker Initiative found that fossil fuel companies possess proven fossil fuel reserves that would release approximately 2,795 gigatons of CO2 if they are burned, which is five times the amount that can be released without exceeding 2°C of warming; AND

---

WHEREAS, a 2009 study in the journal Nature by Meinshausen et al. found that to have an 80% chance of staying below the 2°C warming threshold, the world could only emit 886 billion tons of CO2, and having emitted 391 billion tons thus far this century we have only 495 billion tons remaining; AND

WHEREAS, the University of Michigan is invested in fossil fuel companies holding 284 billion tons in carbon reserves, amounting to over half the world’s permissible carbon budget to stay below a 2°C temperature increase; AND

WHEREAS, the University of Michigan investments include over $900 million in fossil fuel companies; AND

WHEREAS, the University of Michigan’s mission is centered on “developing leaders and citizens who will challenge the present and enrich the future,” and its core values include (but are not limited to) teaching, research, and service in the fields of climate change, healthcare and public health; AND

WHEREAS, the University of Michigan has increased its total sustainability research funding by 200% since 2003, funding $181 million on research toward climate change research over the past decade, with 667 faculty currently conducting research; AND

WHEREAS, President Mary Sue Coleman stated, “I want the message to be clear: Sustainability defines the University of Michigan... How we operate as a multi-billion-dollar enterprise is significant. It matters to the bottom line, which is important to students, parents, and taxpayers. It protects the environment, which is threatened. And it puts our values into practice”; AND

WHEREAS, investing in fossil fuel companies is antithetical to the core values and mission of the University of Michigan with respect to sustainable and environmentally-friendly practices; AND

WHEREAS, the voluntary acquisition of financial stocks and holdings represent an implicit support of a company and its industry, and it is within the right of any stockholder to sell and purchase shares for reasons that lie outside mere financial self-interest; AND

WHEREAS, the University of Michigan has a history of successful divestments: in 1978 from Apartheid in South Africa and in 2000 from tobacco companies; AND

---

5 http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v458/n7242/abs/nature08017.html
7 Divest and Invest Campaign, http://divestum.org/resources/investment-data/
9 2011 Speech: Going Green, Staying Blue: Sustainability at the University of Michigan, http://president.umich.edu/speech/speeches/110927sustainability.php
WHEREAS, the Aperio Group’s “Do the Investment Math” report showed that the effects of full fossil fuel divestment on the risk and expected returns of a portfolio is de minimus\textsuperscript{10}; AND

WHEREAS, students at more than two hundred colleges and universities in the United States have launched campaigns to have their institutions divest from fossil fuel companies, and peer institutions have passed resolutions urging their Colleges and Universities to divest such as Harvard University, Northwestern University, Tufts University, UC Berkeley, and UC Santa Barbara. AND

NOW THEREFORE, ON BEHALF OF THE STUDENT BODY OF THE HORACE H. RACKHAM GRADUATE SCHOOL OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND ARTICULATED BY ITS ELECTED REPRESENTATIVES, IT IS THE SENSE OF THE BOARD THAT IN THEIR NAME, THE FOLLOWING BE

RESOLVED, the official stance of the Rackham Student Government is that investing in fossil fuel companies is antithetical to the core values and mission of the University of Michigan; AND BE IT FURTHER

RESOLVED, the Rackham Student Government urges the President and Board of Regents of the University of Michigan in their commitment to sustainability, to take the following actions:

1. To disclose all current investments, and immediately freeze new investments in the fossil fuel industry; and,

2. To divest from direct ownership in and commingled funds, that include public and private fossil fuel industry financial securities within 5 years; and,

3. Invest a substantial portion of such funds in socially, environmentally, and economically responsible companies.\textsuperscript{11}

AUTHORS

Ryan Roberts
Division II Representative, Graduate Student Body

Lauren Knapp
Division III Representative, Graduate Student Body

Ryan Moody
School of Natural Resources and Environment

Dave Marvin
Ecology and Evolutionary Biology

\textsuperscript{10} Do the Investment Math Report, \url{http://www.aperiogroup.com/system/files/documents/building_a_carbon_free_portfolio.pdf}

\textsuperscript{11} The definition of such companies will be determined by an ad-hoc committee established by the University of Michigan Regents to investigate fossil fuel divestment.
Graduate Student Body

______________________________
Serge Farinas
Ecology and Evolutionary Biology
Graduate Student Body

ATTEST

By Signing below, I certify that this resolution was dispatched by the RSG Board under the rules as prescribed in section IX of the bylaws and that the vote count appearing at the top of this resolution is accurate.

____________________________________
Kaitlin Flynn
Vice President, Rackham Student Government

PRESIDENTIAL ACTION

I, Michael Benson, President of the Rackham Student Body, do hereby approve / veto this resolution on this the ________ day of _____, 2013.

____________________________________
Michael L. Benson
President, Rackham Graduate Student Body
A RESOLUTION TO CREATE A SEMI-MANDATORY COURSE EVALUATION SYSTEM AT THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN'S ANN ARBOR CAMPUS

WHEREAS, evaluations at the University of Michigan for Graduate Student Instructors (GSI) and faculty are completely voluntary on the students part; AND

WHEREAS, the evaluation completion rate for paper evaluations used to be >90%; AND

WHEREAS, the current digital system has around a 30% completion rate; AND

WHEREAS, teaching evaluations for GSIs are a training tool for junior colleagues; AND

WHEREAS, teaching evaluations for professors plays a significant role in professional advancement within the University; AND

WHEREAS, a smaller sample size becomes statistically less relevant for professional advancement and less useful as a training tool; AND

WHEREAS, a system of mandatory evaluations would benefit graduate student instructors, lecturers, tenure track faculty, teaching tenured faculty, and the University as a whole; NOW ON BEHALF OF THE STUDENT BODY OF THE HORACE H. RACKHAM GRADUATE SCHOOL, BE IT

RESOLVED, a student who has not filled out the relevant evaluations for a class shall not be able to see their grades for said class within a period of not less than one (1) month from the end of the semester; AND BE IT FURTHER

RESOLVED, that immediately upon completion of the relevant evaluations, a student shall be able to access their current semester’s grades; AND BE IT FINALLY

RESOLVED, the President of the Rackham Student Body in conjunction with the RSG Academic Affairs Committee shall work with the Office of the Registrar to set these changes in place.

AUTHORS
ATTEST

By Signing below, I certify the this resolution was dispatched by the RSG Board under the rules as prescribed in section IX of the bylaws and that the vote count appearing at the top of this resolution is accurate.

____________________________________
Kaitlin Flynn
Vice President, Rackham Student Government

PRESIDENTIAL ACTION

I, Michael Benson, President of the Rackham Student Body, do hereby approve / veto this resolution on this the _______ day of _____, 2013.

____________________________________
Michael L. Benson
President, Rackham Graduate Student Body
A RESOLUTION TO CREATE A COURSE BANK FOR DOCTORAL CANDIDATES

WHEREAS, classes are a critical, mandatory component of the majority of graduate student education at the University; AND

WHEREAS, many graduate level (> 500) courses are offered sporadically; AND

WHEREAS, doctoral candidates and pre-candidates will find various courses useful for their research; AND

WHEREAS, the current Rackham system for PhD candidates only allows for a single class to be taken free of charge per semester; AND

WHEREAS, currently, a student seeking to enroll in a second course in a given academic term will be charged a substantial fee; AND

WHEREAS, fellowships and training grants often require additional classes to be taken; these classes can negate the cost-benefits of candidacy and other training grants; NOW ON BEHALF OF THE STUDENT BODY OF THE HORACE H. RACKHAM GRADUATE SCHOOL, BE IT

RESOLVED, that the Rackham graduate school convert the current course system to a class-bank system; AND BE IT FURTHER

RESOLVED, that each Rackham program will determine the average number of semesters that its students are enrolled between achieving candidacy and successfully defending a dissertation (A) ; AND BE IT FURTHER

RESOLVED, that each Rackham program will determine the average number of courses that it requires students to take as PhD candidates (B); AND BE IT FURTHER

RESOLVED, that each Rackham program should be encouraged to review each PhD candidate’s course plans with the student on an annual basis; AND BE IT FURTHER
RESOLVED, that students exceeding the average number of semesters of enrollment as a candidate in their program be awarded an additional course in their course bank for each semester over the average; AND BE IT FINALLY

RESOLVED, the President of the Rackham Student Body in conjunction with the RSG Academic Affairs Committee shall work with the Office of the Registrar to set these changes in place.

AUTHOR

______________________________
Chris Tom
Representative, Division 1
Chair, Academic Affairs Committee
Rackham Student Government

ATTEST

By Signing below, I certify the this resolution was dispatched by the RSG Board under the rules as prescribed in section IX of the bylaws and that the vote count appearing at the top of this resolution is accurate.

______________________________
Kaitlin Flynn
Vice President, Rackham Student Government

PRESIDENTIAL ACTION

I, Michael Benson, President of the Rackham Student Body, do hereby approve / veto this resolution on this the _______ day of _____, 2013.

______________________________
Michael L. Benson
President, Rackham Graduate Student Body
## 2013-14 Domestic Student Health Insurance Plan (DSHIP) Ballot

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Premium Information - Approximate dollar increase or decrease is toward the &quot;Student Only&quot; price.</th>
<th>% Increase or decrease to &quot;Student Only&quot; premium</th>
<th>Approximate $ increase or decrease to &quot;Student Only&quot; premium</th>
<th>Please enter an &quot;X&quot; next to your selection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annual Out of Pocket Maximum Options for Co-Insurance</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current Coverage for Co-Insurance - Annual Maximum Out-of-Pocket per member is $2500</strong></td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td><strong>X</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase Co-Insurance - Annual Maximum Out-of-Pocket per member to $3000</td>
<td>Credit of 1.2%</td>
<td>Save approx.</td>
<td>$40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase Co-Insurance - Annual Maximum Out-of-Pocket per member to $4000</td>
<td>Credit of 2.8%</td>
<td>Save approx.</td>
<td>$92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option 2 - Please Choose One of the Two</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rx Copay Amounts -</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current 2012/13 Rx Copayment Pricing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generic Rx meds $20 copay</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preferred brand name Rx $40 copay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non Preferred brand name Rx $60 copay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Increase Rx CoPayments to:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generic Rx meds $25 copay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preferred brand name Rx $50 copay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non Preferred brand name Rx $80 copay</td>
<td>Credit of 0.7%</td>
<td>Save Approx.</td>
<td>$23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option 3 - Please Choose One of the Two</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annual Rx Deductible Options</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currently we do not have an annual Rx deductible</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td><strong>X</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change Rx Benefit to include a $100 annual deductible</td>
<td>Credit of 1%</td>
<td>Save Approx.</td>
<td>$33.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Option 4 - Please Choose One of the Two

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ER Copayment Amount</th>
<th>Increase ER Copayment Amount to $100 per ER visit</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current Plan ER Copayment Amount $75 per ER visit</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>Credit of 0.2%</td>
<td>Save Approx. $7.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 5 - Please Choose One of the Two

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-Existing Condition</th>
<th>Current Plan, $1,000 maximum coverage for pre-existing conditions. Pre-Ex condition clause is dropped after enrollee has the Aetna DSHIP for 180 consecutive days</th>
<th>Remove Pre-Existing Condition Clause</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- A condition is considered pre-existing if member is treated for the condition any time in the 6 months prior to the Aetna DSHIP effective date and member did not have a comparable insurance plan within 63 days of Aetna DSHIP effective date.</td>
<td>0% $0.00</td>
<td>Increase of approx. $66.00</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Please note: If the pre-existing condition clause is removed, insurance open enrollment periods will only be allowed at the start of each semester unless the student has a &quot;qualifying event&quot;. Aetna defines a qualifying event as &quot;an involuntary loss of coverage (i.e.: exceeding the age limitations of parents' or loss of spouses' employer coverage; loss of employment resulting in termination of coverage; divorce resulting in the termination of coverage).&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

lb/nt SHIC Ballot
2013-14 DSHIP
4/1/2013
Results for each of the four elections run by RSG are displayed in their entirety below. Overall, 9.81% of eligible voters (students enrolled in the Rackham Graduate School this term) participated in this election.

Candidates listed in blue were victorious and won full (year-long) terms. Candidates listed in green were victorious and will fill vacant seats for a half-term. Candidates listed in red have tied for a seat.

Per the RSG Bylaws, a candidate must receive a minimum of 2 votes to be eligible to win a seat on the RSG Board.

A note about the results from ITS:
The "Weighted" column is the sum of the weights assigned the preferences that each voter chooses when voting. For example, if a vote allows 4 levels of preference, then selecting a candidate as your first choice will assign 4 points to them. Selecting a candidate as your last choice will give them 1 point.

Exception ballots are ballots cast by voters not known to be eligible for that particular election. If they are later shown to be eligible, their votes can be marked as valid.

Jump To Results:

- Graduate Student Executive Election (President & Vice President)
- Division 1: Biological and Health Sciences Representatives
- Division 2: Physical Sciences and Engineering Representatives
- Division 3: Social and Behavioral Sciences Representatives
- Division 4: Humanities and the Arts Representative

Rackham Student Body Election
Total of unique users who voted: 698 (8.79% participation)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Weighted Votes</th>
<th>Exceptions</th>
<th>Weighted Total Including Exceptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Pres. Phil Saccone VP, Kaitlin Flynn</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Pres. Ryan Roberts VP, Dave Marvin</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Abstention (write-in)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>DAAP (write-in)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Winter 2013 Full Election Results | Rackham Student Government

**RSG Biological and Health Sciences Division**

Total of unique users who voted: 174 (9.45% turnout)

### Representative – 3 seat(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Weighted Votes</th>
<th>Exceptions</th>
<th>Weighted Total Including Exceptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Julian Bahr</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>134 9</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>David F. Malewski</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>95 8</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Brooke Horton (write-in)</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>23 0</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Mckenna Schroeder (write-in)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>11 0</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Eli Eisman (write-in)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3 0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Peanut Butter Jelly Time (write-in)</td>
<td>0 0 2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Torey Arnold (write-in)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1 0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Matthew Waugh (write-in)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1 0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Brooke N. Horton (write-in)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1 0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Courtney Luterbach (write-in)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1 0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Justin Hill (write-in)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Nicole Gabreki (write-in)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1 0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Hui Xu (write-in)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1 0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Brooke N Horton (write-in)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1 0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Eli Benchell Eisman (write-in)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Candidate</td>
<td>Weighted Votes</td>
<td>Exceptions</td>
<td>Weighted Total Including Exceptions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Michael Benson</td>
<td>611</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Chukwuka Mbagwu</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Jared Ferguson</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>David Barton</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Patrick Pannuto (write-in)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Zeng Qiu (write-in)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Kyle Lady (write-in)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Wei Liang (write-in)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Sandro N&amp;Alvide;&amp;frac14;esch (write-in)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Peanut Butter Jelly Time (write-in)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Yash Chitalia (write-in)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Prasad Shingne (write-in)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Ilbin Lee (write-in)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### RSG Behavioral & Social Sciences Division

Total of unique users who voted: 174 \((8.80\% \text{ turnout})\)

**Representative – 2 seat(s)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Weighted Votes</th>
<th>Exceptions</th>
<th>Weighted Total Including Exceptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Erin Sullivan</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ben Sweeney</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Daniel Marcin (write-in)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Tonya Kneff (write-in)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Emma Howland Bolton (write-in)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Tyrion Lannister (write-in)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>you all suck (write-in)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Peanut Butter (write-in)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Jelly Time FUCK THE NO PUNCTUATION RULE (write-in)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Peanut Butter Jelly Time (write-in)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Jaime Lannister (write-in)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Eric Ohrn (write-in)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Mickey Mouse (write-in)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### RSG Humanities and the Arts Division

Total of unique users who voted: 21 \((2.22\% \text{ turnout})\)

**Representative – 3 seat(s)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Weighted Votes</th>
<th>Exceptions</th>
<th>Weighted Total Including Exceptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Nils-Hennes Stear (write-in)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Hannah Noel (write-in)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Jesse Carr (write-in)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Josh Strazanac (write-in)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Amy Pistone (write-in)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>friends (write-in)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Candidate</td>
<td>Votes</td>
<td>Seats</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Kipp Cortez (write-in)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Abstention (write-in)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Peanut Butter Jelly Time (write-in)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>David Schwimmer (write-in)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Peanut (write-in)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Phil Saccone (write-in)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Taylor Hummel (write-in)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Jacqui Pincus (write-in)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Linda Ye (write-in)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Chelsea Del Rio (write-in)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Butter (write-in)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Jordan Andre (write-in)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Phillip Glass (write-in)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Danielle Ayres (write-in)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Samantha Montgomery (write-in)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Jelly Time (write-in)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Winter 2013 Election Results: Results for each of the five elections run by RSG are displayed in their ent... https://t.co/Y9hziDpVUb* — RackhamStudGovt